IFT-UAM/CSIC-16-046
arXiv:1605.09629 [hep-th]
May 31st, 2016

arXiv:1605.09629v2 [hep-th] 8 Jun 2016

A 1-parameter family of SO(3)-gauged maximal $d = 8$ supergravities

Óscar Lasso Andino[a] *and Tomás Ortín*[b]

Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC
C/ Nicolás Cabrera, 13–15, C.U. Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

Abstract

We construct explicitly a 1-parameter family of SO(3)-gauged maximal $d = 8$ supergravities that interpolates continuously between the theory constructed by Salam and Sezgin by Scherk-Schwarz compactification of $d = 11$ supergravity and the theory constructed in Ref. [6] by dimensional reduction of the so called "massive 11-dimensional supergravity" proposed by Meessen and Ortín earlier.

[a]E-mail: oscar.lasso [at] estudiante.uam.es
[b]E-mail: Tomas.Ortin [at] csic.es

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ Supergravity **4**
2.1 Rewriting the theory 5

3 $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ gaugings of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity **8**

4 Construction of the 1-parameter family of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity **13**
4.1 The scalar potential 17

5 Conclusions **20**

A Summary of relations for the gauged theory **22**
A.1 Field strengths 22
A.2 Bianchi identities 23
A.3 Identities of Bianchi identities 24
A.4 Duality relations 25

1 Introduction

Gauged/massive supergravities have received a great deal of attention over the last few years because they almost always include a scalar potential that could fix the moduli or provide an interesting inflationary model. While gauging a given supergravity theory obtained, for instance, as the low-energy limit of some string theory model, is just a technical problem which we now know how to handle in general, the string-theory description of that gauged theory, its 11-dimensional origin and the meaning of the new constants that appear in it (coupling constants, mass parameters etc.), are not always known.

The gauging of maximal 8-dimensional supergravity offers a particularly interesting example. Salam and Sezgin obtained this theory with an $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ gauging in Ref. [1] by performing a Scherk-Schwarz reduction [2] of 11-dimensional supergravity [3].[1] The gauge fields of this theory are the three Kaluza-Klein vectors. However, the theory has another $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ triplet of vectors that can be used as gauge fields: the vectors that come from the 11-dimensional 3-form. This alternative $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ gauging can be carried out directly in 8 dimensions but it is not known how to obtain this theory from 11-dimensional supergravity.

[1] Other 3-dimensional groups can be obtained by the same procedure, as shown in Refs. [4, 5]. We also remind the reader of the U-duality group of this theory: it is $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$

It is somewhat surprising that this second SO(3)-gauged maximal supergravity can be obtained from the compactification of the so-called *massive 11-dimensional supergravity* [6]. This theory is a deformation of 11-dimensional supergravity proposed in Ref. [7] as a candidate to 11-dimensional origin of Romans' massive $\mathcal{N} = 2A, d = 10$ supergravity [8].[2] It does not have 11-dimensional covariance, as it depends explicitly on the (commuting) Killing vectors but it accounts for the 11-dimensional origin of several gauged supergravity theories (apart from Romans' and the 8-dimensional one under discussion) [10].

In this paper we want to go beyond the two SO(3) gaugings of the maximal 8-dimensional supergravity constructed so far and which will be referred to henceforth as SS and AAMO. The two triplets of 8-dimensional vectors form an $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ doublet and one could transform either of them by an $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ rotation and then used the transformed triplet as gauge vectors. If one uses only a $\mathrm{SO}(2) \subset \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ subgroup, it should be possible to obtain a 1-parameter family of SO(3)-gauged maximal 8-dimensional theories interpolating between SS and AAMO.[3] Except for these two limiting cases, the 11-dimensional origin of these theories has yet to be understood.

The best way to construct this family of theories is through the use of the embedding tensor formalism [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].[4] This formalism has been used in several maximal and half-maximal supergravities Refs. [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. In the 8-dimensional case it has been used in Ref. [29] to study the possible subgroups of the U-duality group that can be gauged, regardless of the vectors used as gauge fields, by solving the constraints satisfied by the embedding tensor. The existence of continuous families of gauged supergravities scapes this kind of analysis, though, and we are actually interested in the explicit construction of the theory. In a more recent paper [30] we have used the embedding-tensor formalism to construct the most general 8-dimensional gauge theory (including its tensor hierarchy), for any field content and duality group. This result can immediately be particularized to the field content, d-tensors and duality group of the maximal 8-dimensional supergravity and we just have to find a 1-parameter SO(3) solution of the constraints satisfied by the embedding tensor an other deformation parameters to have the complete tensor hierarchy of the theory we are after. To end the construction of the bosonic theory it only remains to find the scalar potential and the equations of motion. We will explain how to do that in this case. We will also explain how to construct the supersymmetry transformation rules.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we review the matter content and symmetries of the ungauged theory. We will introduce a new basis of fields with simpler transformation properties, as required by the embedding tensor formalism. In Section 3 we will discuss the gauging, using that formalism, of the theory, applying the general results of Ref. [30]. We will show that there is a 1-parameter family of em-

[2]The supersymmetry transformations of this theory were studied in Ref. [9].

[3]The existence of these duality-related family of gaugings has been noticed in Refs. [11, 12].

[4]For recent reviews see Refs. [18, 19, 20, 21].

bedding and other deformation tensors associated to the SO(3) gaugings we are after. In Section 4 we proceed to the explicit construction of the theory. Our conclusions are described in Section 5 and, in the appendices, the explicit forms of the field strengths, Bianchi identities, identities of Bianchi identities and duality relations, are collected.

2 Ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ Supergravity

In this section we are just going to describe the aspects of the ungauged theory that we need to know in order to construct the family of gauged supergravities we are after.

$\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity can be obtained by direct dimensional reduction of 11-dimensional supergravity on T^3 [1]. The scalars of the theory parametrize the coset spaces $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ and $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$. The U-duality group of the theory is $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ and its fields are either invariant or transform in the fundamental representations of both groups. We use the indices $i, j, k = 1, 2$ for $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ doublets and $m, n, p = 1, 2, 3$ for $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ triplets.

The bosonic fields are

$$g_{\mu\nu}, C, B_m, A^{i\,m}, a, \varphi, \mathcal{M}_{mn}, \tag{2.1}$$

where C is a 3-form, B_m a triplet of 2-forms, $A^{i\,m}$, a doublet of triplets of 1-forms (six in total), a and φ are the axion and dilaton fields which can be combined into the axidilaton field

$$\tau \equiv a + ie^{-\varphi}\,, \tag{2.2}$$

or into the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ symmetric matrix

$$(\mathcal{W}_{ij}) \equiv e^{\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} |\tau|^2 & a \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \text{with inverse} \quad \left(\mathcal{W}^{ij}\right) \equiv e^{\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ -a & |\tau|^2 \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{2.3}$$

and, finally, $\mathcal{M}_{mn}$ is an $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ symmetric matrix whose explicit parametrization in terms of five independent scalars will not concern us for the moment. The inverses of these matrices will be written with upper indices.

The bosonic action obtained in Ref. [6] by simple dimensional reduction is[5]

[5] The relation between the 8- and 11-dimensional fields can be found there. As mentioned in Ref. [6], one of the coefficients in the Chern-Simons part of the action (which has been checked explicitly to be gauge-invariant) differs from the corresponding one in Ref. [1].

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^8x\sqrt{|g|}\,\Big\{R + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\partial\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right)^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\partial\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1}\right)^2 \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{4}F^{i\,m}\mathcal{M}_{mn}\mathcal{W}_{ij}F^{j\,n} + \tfrac{1}{2\cdot 3!}H_m\mathcal{M}^{mn}H_n - \tfrac{1}{2\cdot 4!}e^{-\varphi}G^2\,, \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{6^3\cdot 2^4}\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\,\epsilon\,\big[GGa - 8GH_mA^{2\,m} + 12G(F^{2\,m} + aF^{1\,m})B_m \\
&\quad -8\epsilon^{mnp}H_mH_nB_p - 8G\partial aC - 16H_m(F^{2\,m} + aF^{1\,m})C\big]\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

where the field strengths are given by[6]

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{i\,m} &= 2\partial A^{i\,m}\,. \\
H_m &= 3\partial B_m + 3\epsilon_{mnp}F^{1\,n}A^{2\,p}\,, \\
G &= 4\partial C + 6F^{1\,m}B_m\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

2.1 Rewriting the theory

In order to study the gaugings of this theory using the embedding-tensor formalism it is convenient to use differential-form language and, furthermore, use a different basis of forms with better transformation properties under the duality groups (in particular, under $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$): for instance, if the 3-form field strengths H_m are invariant under $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformations, it is obvious that the 2-forms B_m can only be invariant under those $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformations up to 1-form gauge transformations because the Chern-Simons term $3\epsilon_{mnp}F^{1n}A^{2p}$ has that same behaviour. This implies, in its turn, that the 3-form C only transforms as the first component of an $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ doublet (something we expect to happen on general grounds) up to gauge transformations. The conclusion is that we are going to need to redefine the 2- and 3-form potentials B_m and C, which we also denote as C^1 when needed.

In differential-form language, the above field strengths take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{im} &= dA^{im}\,. \\
H_m &= dB_m + \epsilon_{mnp}F^{1n}\wedge A^{2p}\,, \\
G &= dC + F^{1m}\wedge B_m\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.6}
$$

The redefinition of the potentials that gives the the required properties of transformation under the U-duality group is

[6] In this notation, used in Ref. [6], all the lower indices, which are not shown, are antisymmetrized with weight one. The difference with differential-form notation is the normalization of the components of the differential forms: $\omega^{(p)} = \frac{1}{p!}\omega^{(p)}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}dx^{\mu_1}\wedge\cdots dx^{\mu_p}$, so, for instance, $d\omega^{(p)} = (p+1)\partial\omega^{(p)}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_m &\longrightarrow B_m - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp} A^{1n} \wedge A^{2p} \,, \\
C &\longrightarrow C^1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp} A^{1m} \wedge A^{1n} \wedge A^{2p} \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.7}
$$

In terms of these new potentials, the field strengths take the form[7]

$$
F^{im} = dA^{im} \,, \tag{2.8}
$$

$$
H_m = dB_m + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mnp} F^{in} A^{jp} \,, \tag{2.9}
$$

$$
G^1 = dC^1 + F^{1m} B_m + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mnp} A^{1m} F^{in} A^{jp} \,. \tag{2.10}
$$

The gauge transformations that leave these field strengths invariant are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_\sigma A^{im} &= d\sigma^{im} \,. \\
\delta_\sigma B_m &= d\sigma_m - \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mnp} \left(F^{in}\sigma^{jp} - \tfrac{1}{2} A^{in} \delta_\sigma A^{jp} \right) \,, \\
\delta_\sigma C^1 &= d\sigma^1 - \left[\sigma^{1m} H_m + F^{1m}\sigma_m + \delta_\sigma A^{1m} B_m + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{jk}\epsilon_{mnp}\delta_\sigma A^{jn} A^{1m} A^{kp} \right] \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

and the gauge-invariant bosonic action can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
S = \int \Big\{ & - \star R + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(d\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge \star d\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1} \right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(d\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1} \wedge \star d\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1} \right) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}_{ij}\mathcal{M}_{mn} F^{im} \wedge \star F^{jn} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{mn} H_m \wedge \star H_n + \tfrac{1}{2} e^{-\varphi} G^1 \wedge \star G^1 - \tfrac{1}{2} a G^1 G^1 \\
& + \tfrac{1}{3} G^1 \left[H_m A^{2m} - B_m F^{2m} + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp} F^{2m} A^{1n} A^{2p} \right] \\
& + \tfrac{1}{3} H_m F^{2m} \left[C^1 + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{mnp} A^{1m} A^{1n} A^{2p} \right] \\
& + \tfrac{1}{3!}\epsilon^{mnp} H_m H_n \left(B_p - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pqr} A^{1q} A^{2r} \right) \Big\} \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.12}
$$

It is not difficult to check that the (formal[8]) exterior derivative of the Chern-Simons part of this action (the last three lines) is just a combination of gauge-invariant field strengths:

[7] Here and, very often in what follows, we suppress the wedge product symbols $\wedge$ in order to simplify the expressions. We will introduce further simplifications in the notation along the way.

[8] It is the total derivative of an 8-form in 8 dimensions.

$$d(\text{Chern}-\text{Simons}) = -H_m F^{2m} G^1 - \tfrac{1}{3!}\epsilon^{mnp} H_m H_n H_p \,, \tag{2.13}$$

which ensures its gauge-invariance up to total derivatives under the transformations Eqs. (2.11).

Global $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ covariance requires the introduction of another 3-form C^2 so we can define a doublet of 4-form field strengths

$$G^i \equiv dC^i + F^{im} B_m + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{jk}\epsilon_{mnp} A^{im} F^{jn} A^{kp} \,, \tag{2.14}$$

invariant under the gauge transformations $\delta_\sigma A^{im}$ and $\delta_\sigma B_m$ in Eq. (2.11) and

$$\delta_\sigma C^i = d\sigma^i - \left[\sigma^{im} H_m + F^{im}\sigma_m + \delta_\sigma A^{im} B_m + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{jk}\epsilon_{mnp}\delta_\sigma A^{jn} A^{im} A^{kp}\right] . \tag{2.15}$$

This (magnetic, dual) field is related by electric-magnetic duality to the original (electric, fundamental) C so there are no new degrees of freedom on *duality shell*[9]

$$G^2 = e^{-\varphi} \star G + aG \equiv \tilde{G} \,, \tag{2.16}$$

and the relation is such that, using it, the equation of motion of C that follows from the action Eq. (2.12)

$$-\frac{\delta S}{\delta C} = d\tilde{G} - F^{1m} H_m \,, \tag{2.17}$$

becomes the Bianchi identity for the field strength G^2.

Then, denoting with a Δ the part of a $(p+1)$-field strength that does not contain the derivative of the p-form potential, using the above definitions we can rewrite the action Eq. (2.12) in a more compact form that we will use later:

$$\begin{aligned} S \;=\; \int \Big\{ & - \star R + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(d\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge \star d\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(d\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1} \wedge \star d\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1}\right) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}_{ij}\mathcal{M}_{mn} F^{im} \wedge \star F^{jn} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{mn} H_m \wedge \star H_n + \tfrac{1}{2} G\tilde{G} - dC^1 \Delta G^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta G^1 \Delta G^2 \\ & - \tfrac{1}{12}\epsilon^{mnp} B_m dB_n dB_p + \tfrac{1}{4}\epsilon^{mnp} B_m H_n H_p - \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon_{ij} A^{im} A^{jn} \Delta H_m dB_n \Big\} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Potentials dual to the 2-forms (the 4-forms $\tilde{B}^m$), to the 1-forms A^{im} (the 5-forms $\tilde{A}_{im}$) and to the scalars (the 6-forms D_A, where the index A runs over the adjoint representation of the duality group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$), and their gauge-invariant field strengths $(\tilde{H}^m, \tilde{F}_{im}, K_A)$ can also be defined by dualizing the equations of motion

[9] Observe that $\tilde{G}$ is a combination of the field strength of the electric 3-form G, its Hodge dual $\star G$ and the scalars, while G^2 is the field strength of the magnetic 3-form C^2.

of the corresponding electric fields. We will not need them now, but they can be found in Ref. [30]. They can also be recovered by setting to zero the deformation parameters in the field strengths of the gauged theory that we are going to construct in the next section and which are listed in Appendix A.1.

3 SO(3) gaugings of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity

The gaugings and massive deformations of general 8-dimensional field theories have been studied in depth using the embedding-tensor formalism in Ref. [30] using the notation of Ref. [21] and the general procedure used in Refs. [31, 32] for the 4-,5- and 6-dimensional cases: finding identities for Bianchi identities, solving those identities for the Bianchi identities and then solving the Bianchi identities for the field strengths. In particular, the tensor hierarchy has been constructed and the form of most of the field strengths has been fully determined. The action was only determined up to terms containing 2-forms due to the very large number of complicated terms occurring in it.

In this section we are going to specialize the results of Ref. [30] to the particular case of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity and, then, we are going to select the family of gaugings we are interested in[10]. Since the case we are going to study is far simpler than the general case, we are going to determine almost the bosonic action.

In order to particularize the results of Ref. [30] to $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity we have to particularize the generic field content, the d-tensors occurring in the Chern-Simons terms and the global symmetry group considered there.

Let us start by reviewing the U-duality group of the theory. The U-duality group of this theory is, exactly, $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$[11] and we remind the reader of the group isomorphism $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \sim \mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$. The adjoint indices of the U-duality group are denoted collectively by $A, B, \ldots$. The adjoint indices of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ are $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 1, 2, 3$. The adjoint indices of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ are $m, n, \ldots = 1, 2, 3$ for the SO(3) subgroup that we want to gauge and $a, b, \ldots = 1, \cdots, 5$ for the rest of the generators.

The only structure constants that we need to know explicitly are those of the SO(3) subgroup:[12]

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}{}^p T_p = -\epsilon_{mn}{}^p T_p \,, \tag{3.1}$$

so the SO(3) generators in the fundamental/adjoint representation are the matrices

$$T_m{}^n{}_p = \epsilon_m{}^n{}_p = -\epsilon_{mpn} \,. \tag{3.2}$$

[10] A partial analysis of the possible gaugings (that is: the possible solutions to the constraints satisfied by the embedding tensor) was performed in Ref. [29].

[11] There is only one additional rescaling symmetry, but it acts on the spacetime metric and, therefore, we will not consider it here.

[12] SO(3) indices are raised and lowered with the unit metric and, therefore, there is no distinction between upper and lower SO(3) indices. We choose their position for the sake of convenience and esthetics.

We also need to know that the coset space $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ is a symmetric space and the structure constants with mixed indices $f_{ma}{}^{b}$ provide a representation of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ acting on the $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ indices $a, b, \cdots$:

$$T_m{}^a{}_b = f_{mb}{}^a \,. \tag{3.3}$$

As for the generators of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \sim \mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$ in the fundamental representation $T_\alpha{}^i{}_j$ we just need to know the property

$$T_\alpha{}^k{}_{[j}\epsilon_{i]k} \equiv T_{\alpha\,[ij]} = 0\,, \tag{3.4}$$

Let us consider now the field content. In Ref. [30] the scalars were collectively denoted by ϕ^x. We are going to keep using that notation for the time being. The vector fields carried indices $I, J, \ldots$ and they must be replaced by composite indices im, jn etc. where $i, j, \ldots = 1, 2$ and $m, n, \ldots = 1, 2, 3$ are indices in the fundamental representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$, respectively. The notation for the 2-forms is the same. In Ref. [30] the electric 3-forms carry an index a which is the upper component of a symplectic index denoted by $i, j, \ldots$. In the case at hands, a takes only one value: 1 (C^1) which will be sometimes omitted (C). The lower index 1 is equivalent to an upper index 2: $C_1 = \epsilon_{12}C^2 = C^2$ and, therefore $(C^i) = \begin{pmatrix} C^1 \\ C_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C^1 \\ C^2 \end{pmatrix}$. On the other hand, $C_i \equiv \epsilon_{ij}C^j$.

Finally, in order to find the values of the d-tensors for this theory it is enough to compare the field strengths of this theory with those of the generic ungauged theory constructed in Ref. [30]. Comparing Eqs. (2.8),(2.9) and (2.14) with

$$F^I = dA^I \,. \tag{3.5}$$

$$H_m = dB_m - d_{mIJ}F^I A^J \,, \tag{3.6}$$

$$G^i = dC^i + d^i{}_I{}^m F^I B_m - \tfrac{1}{3} d^i{}_I{}^m d_{mJK} A^I F^J A^K \,. \tag{3.7}$$

we conclude that the d-tensors can be constructed entirely in terms of the U-duality invariant tensors $\delta^i{}_j, \epsilon_{ij}, \delta^m{}_n, \epsilon_{mnp}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{mIJ} &\rightarrow d_{m\,in\,jp} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{ij}\,, \\ d^i{}_I{}^m &\rightarrow d^i{}_{jn}{}^m = \delta^i{}_j\delta^m{}_n\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The tensor d^{mnp} is related to these by

$$d^i{}_{(I|}{}^m d_{i|J)}{}^n = -2d^{mnp}d_{pIJ}\,, \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^{mnp} = +\tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{mnp}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

We can immediately use the results of Ref. [30] to determine the form of the 5-form field strengths $\tilde{H}^m$ (dual to the H_m) and the 6-forms $\tilde{F}_{im}$ (dual to the 2-forms F^{im})[13]. We can also derive the the Bianchi identities satisfied by all of them and also by the 7-form field strengths K_A dual to the Noether current 1-forms of the scalar σ-model $j_A^{(\sigma)}$ where $A = m, a, \alpha$ runs in the adjoint of the U-duality group. The later are given by

$$j_A^{(\sigma)} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{xy} k_A{}^x d\phi^y \,, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{xy}(\phi)$ is the σ-model metric and $k_A{}^x(\phi)$ is the Killing vector of that metric associated to the generator of the U-duality group T_A

$$[T_A, T_B] = f_{AB}{}^C T_C \,, \qquad [k_A, k_B] = -f_{AB}{}^C k_C \,. \tag{3.11}$$

We are, however, interested in the gauged theory. The most general gaugings can be found using the embedding-tensor formalism. In this theory, the embedding tensor has the form $\vartheta_{im}{}^A$. We know there are at least two possible $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ gaugings of this theory:

1. Salam and Sezgin's [1], in which the 3 vector fields A^{1m} coming from the metric of 11-dimensional supergravity (that is, the 3 Kaluza-Klein (KK) vector fields) are used as gauge fields.

2. The AAMO [6] gauging in which the 3 gauge fields are the A^{2m} coming from the 3-form of 11-dimensional supergravity.

These two sets of gauge fields are related by the discreet electric-magnetic $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ duality transformation $\tau \to -1/\tau$ before gauging. The Salam-Sezgin gauged theory can be uplifted to standard 11-dimensional supergravity (because the gauged theory can be obtained from it by generalized (Scherk-Schwarz [2]) dimensional reduction) while the AAMO gauged theory cannot and one has to resort to a generalization of the so-called "massive 11-dimensional supergravity" proposed in Ref. [7]. Both gauged theories have the same gauge group ($\mathrm{SO}(3)$) but they are different. The Salam-Sezgin gauging corresponds to choosing an embedding tensor whose only non-vanishing components are $\vartheta_{im}{}^n = g\delta_i{}^1\delta_m{}^n$ where g is the coupling constant, and the AAMO gauging corresponds to the choice $\vartheta_{im}{}^n = g\delta_i{}^2\delta_m{}^n$.

From the 8-dimensional supergravity point of view, one could use any other $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformed of the A^{1m} triplet as gauge fields. This suggests that a continuous family of inequivalent $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ gaugings should exist. The corresponding embedding tensor has the form

$$\vartheta_{im}{}^n = v_i \delta_m{}^n \,, \tag{3.12}$$

[13]The explicit expressions of the field strengths for a generic 8-dimensional theory is only given up to the 6-forms in Ref. [30].

where v_i is a 2-component vector transforming in the fundamental of the electric-magnetic $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ duality group and can describe a one-parameter family of inequivalent $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ gaugings of the theory[14]. The $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ gauge fields are combinations of the two triplets of vector fields

$$\vartheta_{in}{}^{m} A^{in} = v_i A^{im} \,, \tag{3.13}$$

and include, as limiting cases, the Salam-Sezgin and the AAMO theories.

Our candidate to embedding tensor Eq. (3.12) must solve the quadratic constraint, which implies its own gauge invariance

$$\vartheta_{im}{}^{B} Y_{B\,jn}{}^{A} = 0 \,, \tag{3.14}$$

where the Y tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{B\,jn}{}^{A} \equiv \delta_B \vartheta_{jn}{}^{A} &= -T_B{}^{k}{}_{j} \vartheta_{kn}{}^{A} - T_B{}^{p}{}_{n} \vartheta_{jp}{}^{A} + T_B{}^{A}{}_{C} \vartheta_{jn}{}^{C} \\ &= -T_B{}^{k}{}_{j} \vartheta_{kn}{}^{A} - T_B{}^{p}{}_{n} \vartheta_{jp}{}^{A} + f_{BC}{}^{A} \vartheta_{jn}{}^{C} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

For the above embedding tensor Eq. (3.12), the only non-vanishing components of the $Y_{A\,im}{}^{B}$ tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{a\,im}{}^{n} &= -v_i T_a{}^{n}{}_{m} \,, \qquad & Y_{a\,im}{}^{b} &= -v_i f_{ma}{}^{b} \,, \\ Y_{\alpha\,im}{}^{n} &= -T_\alpha{}^{j}{}_{i} v_j \delta_m{}^{n} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and, therefore, the quadratic constraint is automatically satisfied and the embedding tensor is, in principle, admissible.

There are other parameters associated to deformations of the theory that must be considered together with the embedding tensor because they can be related. The d-tensors being defined already in the undeformed theory, the rest of the deformations of the theory are dictated by the Stückelberg mass parameters Z^{imn} and Z_{im}.

Z^{imn} is related to the embedding tensor through the defining relation[15]

$$\vartheta_{(im|}{}^{A} T_A{}^{jn}{}_{|kp)} = Z^{jnq} d_{q\,im\,jp} \,. \tag{3.17}$$

through the orthogonality relation

$$\vartheta_{im}{}^{A} Z^{imn} = 0 \,, \tag{3.18}$$

and through the requirement of gauge invariance

$$\vartheta_{im}{}^{A} Y_A{}^{jnp} = 0 \,, \quad \text{where} \quad Y_A{}^{jnp} \equiv \delta_A Z^{inp} \,. \tag{3.19}$$

[14] One of the two degrees of freedom of v_i corresponds to the gauge coupling constant.

[15] In this equation the parenthesis indicates the simultaneous symmetrization of the pairs of indices im and kp.

It is not difficult to see that the only solution to these three constraints is

$$Z^{imn} = v^i \delta^{mn}\,, \qquad (v^i = \epsilon^{ji} v_j)\,, \tag{3.20}$$

and, therefore, the only non-vanishing components of the tensor $Y_a{}^{imn}$ are

$$Y_a{}^{imn} = v^i T_a{}^{(m}{}_q \delta^{n)q}\,, \qquad Y_\alpha{}^{imn} = T_\alpha{}^i{}_j v^j \delta^{mn}\,. \tag{3.21}$$

Z_{im} must be orthogonal to Z^{inm}

$$Z_{im} Z^{jnm} = 0\,, \tag{3.22}$$

which can only be satisfied by $Z_{im} = 0$. This solution is gauge-invariant and the corresponding Y tensor vanishes identically:

$$Y_{A\,im} = 0\,, \qquad A = m, a, \alpha\,. \tag{3.23}$$

There are five constraints more relating the three deformation tensors $\vartheta_{im}{}^A, Z^{imn}$ and Z_{im} among themselves and to the d-tensors [30]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_{im}{}^A T_A{}^p{}_n + 2 d_{n\,im\,jq} Z^{jqp} + Z_{jn} d^j{}_{im}{}^p &= 0\,,\\
\vartheta_{im}{}^A T_{A\,jk} + 2 Z_{(j|n} d_{|k)im}{}^n &= 0\,,\\
d^i{}_{jp}{}^{[m|} Z^{jp|n]} + Z^i{}_p d^{pmn} &= 0\,,\\
\tfrac{1}{2} d^k{}_{(ip|}{}^m d_{k|jq)}{}^n + d^{mnp} d_{p\,ip\,jq} + 3 d^{[m|}{}_{ip\,jq\,lr} Z^{lr|n]} &= 0\,,\\
Z_{im} d^m{}_{jn\,kp\,lq} - d_{i(jn|}{}^m d_{m|kp\,lq)} &= 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $d^m{}_{jn\,kp\,lq}$ is another d-tensor fully symmetric in the three lower (pairs of) indices. They are satisfied identically when this tensor vanishes.

The conclusion of this section is that we have found a set of deformation parameters

$$\vartheta_{im}{}^n = v_i \delta_m{}^n\,, \quad Z^{imn} = v^i \delta^{mn}\,, \quad Z_{im} = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

that describe a one-parameter family of SO(3) gaugings of maximal 8-dimensional supergravity with the properties we were looking for.

In what follows we are going to construct explicitly this family of theories using the general results of Ref. [30].

4 Construction of the 1-parameter family of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 8$ supergravity

The first step is the construction of the tensor hierarchy. Since this has been done in Ref. [30] for most of the fields in a generic 8-dimensional theory, we just have to replace the values of the d-tensors and the deformation tensors to get most of the field strengths and all the Bianchi identities and the identities relating all the Bianchi identities. They can be found in Appendices A.1, A.2 and A.3, respectively. Nevertheless, we would like to remark the definitions of the first and second covariant derivatives of the scalars

$$\mathcal{D}\phi^x \equiv d\phi^x - A^{im} v_i k_m{}^x \,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \star \mathcal{D}\phi^x \equiv d \star \mathcal{D}\phi^x + \Gamma_{yz}{}^x \mathcal{D}\phi^y \wedge \star \mathcal{D}\phi^z - A^{im} v_i \partial_y k_m{}^x \wedge \star \mathcal{D}\phi^y \,, \tag{4.2}$$

and the fact that the Noether current 1-forms defined in Eq. (3.10)[16] also need to be covariantized

$$j_A^{(\sigma)} \equiv k_A{}^x \mathcal{G}_{xy} \mathcal{D}\phi^y \,, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \star j_A^{(\sigma)} \equiv d \star j_A + f_{AB}{}^C A^B \wedge \star j_C \,. \tag{4.4}$$

As explained in Ref. [30], the Bianchi identities of the magnetic fields are related to the equations of motion of the electric ones upon the use of the duality relations between electric and magnetic field strength given in Appendix A.4 and assuming that the Bianchi identities of the electric field strengths are satisfied. The precise relation can be found by studying the Noether identities associated to the gauge invariance of the action of the theory (whose existence we assume) and, adapted to this theory, is

$$k_A{}^x \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^x} = \mathcal{B}(K_A) \,, \qquad A = m, a, \alpha \,, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^{im}} = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{F}_{im}) + \left(\delta^1{}_i B_m - \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{mnp} A^{1n} A^{jp}\right) \mathcal{B}(G^2) - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{ij} A^{jn} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{H}^p) \,, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta B_m} = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{H}^m) \,, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta C^1} = \mathcal{B}(G^2) \,. \tag{4.8}$$

[16] In absence of interactions between the scalars and other fields these Noether currents are conserved $d \star j_A^{(\sigma)} = 0$. After gauging, in general they are no longer conserved. Their covariant generalizations are covariantly conserved $\mathcal{D} \star j_A^{(\sigma)} = 0$, though. See Eq. (4.22) and its ungauged limit.

From these relations we find

$$k_m{}^x \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^x} = -\mathcal{D} \star j_m^{(\sigma)} - \epsilon_m{}^n{}_p \left[\mathcal{M}_{nq} \mathcal{W}_{ij} F^{ip} \wedge \star F^{jq} - \mathcal{M}^{pq} H_n \wedge \star H_q \right] , \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_a{}^x \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^x} = & -\mathcal{D} \star j_a^{(\sigma)} - T_a{}^n{}_p \Big[\mathcal{M}_{nq} \mathcal{W}_{ij} F^{ip} \wedge \star F^{jq} - \mathcal{M}^{pq} H_n \wedge \star H_q \\ & - v_i \star \frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta_{ip}{}^n} + v^i \star \frac{\partial V}{\partial Z_{ipn}} \Big] , \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha{}^x \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^x} = & -d \star j_\alpha^{(\sigma)} - T_\alpha{}^i{}_j \Big[\mathcal{M}_{mn} \mathcal{W}_{ik} F^{jm} \wedge \star F^{kn} + \tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{ik} G^j \wedge \star G^k \\ & - v_i \delta^m{}_n \star \frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta_{jn}{}^m} + v^j \delta^{mn} \star \frac{\partial V}{\partial Z_{imn}} \Big] , \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S}{\delta A^{im}} = & -\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{W}_{ij} \mathcal{M}_{mn} \star F^{jn}) - \epsilon_{mnp} \epsilon_{ij} F^{jn} \mathcal{M}^{pq} \star H_q - (\delta_i{}^1 \tilde{G} - \delta_i{}^2 G) H_m \\ & - v_i K_m + \left(\delta^1{}_i B_m - \tfrac{1}{6} \epsilon_{mnp} A^{1n} A^{jp} \right) \frac{\delta S}{\delta C} - \tfrac{1}{2} \epsilon_{mnp} \epsilon_{ij} A^{jn} \frac{\delta S}{\delta B_p} , \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta B_m} = -\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}^{mn} \star H_n) + F^{1m} \tilde{G} - F^{2m} G + \tfrac{1}{2} \epsilon^{mnp} H_n H_p + v^i \mathcal{W}_{ij} \mathcal{M}_{mn} \star F^{jn} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta C} = -d\tilde{G} + F^{2m} H_m . \tag{4.14}$$

The scalar equations of motion can be recovered from the above three relations by using

1. The relation that expresses the gauge-invariance of the scalar potential

$$k_A{}^x \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^x} = Y_A{}^\sharp \frac{\partial V}{\partial c^\sharp} , \tag{4.15}$$

where the index $\sharp$ labels the deformations $c^\sharp$, which, in this case, are just $\vartheta_{im}{}^A$, Z^{imn} and Z_{im}. Using the values of the Y-tensors computed before and

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial Z_{im}} = 0 , \tag{4.16}$$

we get the relations

$$k_m{}^x \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^x} = 0\,, \tag{4.17}$$

$$k_a{}^x \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^x} = -v_i T_a{}^p{}_n \frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta_{in}{}^p} + v^i T_a{}^n{}_p \frac{\partial V}{\partial Z^{inp}}\,, \tag{4.18}$$

$$k_\alpha{}^x \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^x} = -T_\alpha{}^j{}_i v_j \delta^p{}_n \frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta_{in}{}^p} + T_\alpha{}^i{}_j v^j \delta^{np} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Z_{inp}}\,. \tag{4.19}$$

2. The invariance of the theory under the U-duality group implies that the kinetic matrices $\mathcal{M}_{mn}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{ij}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} k_m{}^x \partial_x \mathcal{M}_{np} &= -2\epsilon_m{}^q{}_{(n} \mathcal{M}_{p)q}\,, \\ k_a{}^x \partial_x \mathcal{M}_{np} &= -2T_a{}^q{}_{(n} \mathcal{M}_{p)q}\,, \\ k_\alpha{}^x \partial_x \mathcal{W}_{ij} &= -2T_\alpha{}^K{}_{(i} \mathcal{W}_{j)k}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

The axidilaton field τ transforms non-linearly under $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ (fractional-linear transformations). Taking into account the (unconventional, by an overall sign) definition of the dual 4-form $\tilde{G}$ that constitutes the lower entry of the symplectic vector G^i[17], the infinitesimal $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformations of τ take the form

$$k_\alpha{}^x \partial_x \tau = -T_{\alpha\,11} + (T_{\alpha\,1}{}^1 - T_\alpha{}^1{}_1)\tau + T_\alpha{}^{11}\tau^2\,. \tag{4.21}$$

3. Finally, using the Killing equation it is not difficult to prove the following identity for the Killing vectors $k_A{}^x$ of a metric $\mathcal{G}_{xy}(\phi)$ and the associated covariantized Noether 1-form defined in Eq. (4.3)

$$k_{A\,x} \mathcal{D} \star \mathcal{D}\phi^y = \mathcal{D} \star j_A^{(\sigma)}\,. \tag{4.22}$$

Then, the scalar equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^y} &= -\mathcal{G}_{xy} \mathcal{D} \star \mathcal{D}\phi^y + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x \left\{ \mathcal{W}_{ij} \mathcal{M}_{mn} F^{im} \wedge \star F^{jn} + \mathcal{M}^{mn} H_m \wedge \star H_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-\varphi} G \wedge \star G - aG \wedge G - V(\phi) \right\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

These equations can be split into those corresponding to the scalars in the coset spaces $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in the obvious way.

[17] It is this definition that brings us to the unconventional $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ matrix $\mathcal{W}$

We will discuss the form of the potential later.

The scalar equations of motion give us all the kinetic terms in the action:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(0)} &= \int \Big\{ - \star R + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge \star \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left(d\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1} \wedge \star d\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}_{ij}\mathcal{M}_{mn}F^{im} \wedge \star F^{jn} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{mn}H_m \wedge \star H_n + \tfrac{1}{2}e^{-\varphi}G \wedge \star G - \tfrac{1}{2}aG \wedge G - V \Big\} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

(We have added the Hilbert-Einstein term, which, evidently, should be there). Now we have to add the Chern-Simons terms necessary to obtain the other equations of motion, starting by those of the higher-rank potentials (C). However, all the Chern-Simons terms of the ungauged theory must be present (since we must recover it in the $v^i = 0$ limit) and it makes sense to add to the above action the covariantization of those terms, namely

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(1)} &= \int \Big\{ -dC^1\Delta G^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta G^1 \Delta G^2 - \tfrac{1}{12}\epsilon^{mnp}B_m\mathcal{D}B_n\mathcal{D}B_p + \tfrac{1}{4}\epsilon^{mnp}B_m H_n H_p \\ &\quad - \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon_{ij}A^{im}A^{jn}\Delta H_m \mathcal{D}B_n \Big\} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where now the field strengths and derivatives are the covariant ones and

$$\Delta H_m = H_m - \mathcal{D}B_m \,, \qquad \Delta G^i = G^i - dC^i \,. \tag{4.26}$$

C only occurs in one place in this Chern-Simons term and, therefore, using $d\Delta G^2 = dG^2$ and the Bianchi identity $\mathcal{B}(G^2)$ in Eq. (A.20) we get

$$\frac{\delta S^{(0)} + S^{(1)}}{\delta C^1} = -d\tilde{G} + d\Delta G^2 = -d\tilde{G} + F^{2m}H_m \,, \tag{4.27}$$

in agreement with Eq. (4.14).

For the 2-forms we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S^{(0)} + S^{(1)}}{\delta B_m} &= \frac{\delta S}{\delta B_m} + \tfrac{1}{12}v_i F^{im}B_n B_n + \tfrac{1}{6}v_i F^{in}B_n B_m + \tfrac{1}{2}v_i \Box G^i B_m \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij}\Box G^i \Box F^{jm} - \tfrac{1}{4}\epsilon^{mnp}\Delta H_n \Delta H_p + \tfrac{1}{24}\mathcal{D}\left(\epsilon_{ij}A^{im}A^{in}\Delta H_n\right) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where $\delta S/\delta B_m$ is the expected equation of motion, given in Eq. (4.13), and where the boxes acting on field strengths denote the terms on that field strength that only depend on the 1-form fields. Thus, the terms in the second line only depend on the 1-form fields and it is very easy to add a term to the action, linear in B_m to cancel them. However, we must make sure, first, that those terms always depend on v^i, so

they disappear in the ungauged limit. Indeed, expanding them we find that all the v-independent terms in them cancel. As for the unwanted terms in the first line (all of them v-dependent), they can be easily integrated. We conclude that we must add to the action a new correction:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(2)} &= \int \Big\{ -\tfrac{1}{12} v_i (F^{im} - v^i B_m) B_m B_n B_n + \tfrac{1}{4} \epsilon^{mnp} B_m \Delta H_n \Delta H_p - \tfrac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \Box G^i \Box F^{jm} B_m \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{24} \epsilon_{ij} A^{im} A^{in} \mathcal{D} B_m \Delta H_n \Big\} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Varying $S^{(0)} + S^{(1)} + S^{(2)}$ with respect to C and B_m gives the expected equations of motion.

The terms that remain to be added only contain 1-forms and their derivatives and only contribute to the equations of motion of the 1-forms. They are of the form $(dA)^2 A^4$ and $(dA)A^6$ and their form is exceedingly complicated and we have not determined them.

4.1 The scalar potential

Finally, we have to find the scalar potential. The scalar potential must satisfy Eq. (4.15), but this equation does not fully determine it. In supergravity theories, the scalar potential is determined by supersymmetry, and is quadratic in the *fermion shifts*.[18]

There seem to be no general rules available in the literature to construct the fermion shifts of any gauged supergravity, although, based on the example of gauge $\mathcal{N} = 3, d = 4$ supergravity [33], it was suggested in Ref. [34] that they can be written in terms of the *dressed structure constants* of the gauge group.

Looking into Ref. [1], we can see that the fermion shifts of SO(3)-gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 8$ supergravity theory fit into this general rule and are written in terms of

$$f_{\mathbf{mn}}{}^{\mathbf{p}} \equiv L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{m} L_{\mathbf{n}}{}^{n} L_{p}{}^{\mathbf{p}} f_{mn}{}^{p} \,, \tag{4.30}$$

where $f_{mn}{}^{p} = \epsilon_{mnp}$, the matrix $L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{n}$ is the $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ coset representative, and $L_{m}{}^{\mathbf{n}}$is its inverse.[19]

It is, however, well-known that in $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2, d = 4$ supergravities the fermion shifts are written in terms of the *momentum maps* $P_A{}^{\Sigma}$ associated to the symmetries being gauged: the index A runs over its Lie algebra and the index Σ runs over the Lie Algebra of the R-symmetry group. Thus, in this theory, they would have be $P_A{}^{m}$ with $A = m, a, \alpha$.

[18]The exception is $\mathcal{N} = 1, d = 4$ supergravity, which, even in the ungauged case, admits a scalar potential entirely built from the superpotential, which is largely arbitrary.

[19]Here $m, n, p = 1, 2, 3$ are, as in the rest of this paper, indices of the fundamental (vector) representation of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p} = 1, 2, 3$ are indices in the fundamental representation of SO(3)).

As discussed in Ref. [35], these two ways of writing fermion shifts are, actually, equivalent because the dressed structure constants can be rewritten in terms of the momentum maps. The momentum maps, though, can be combined with the embedding tensor in a natural way ($\vartheta_{im}{}^{n}P_{n}{}^{p}$) and more general gaugings can be considered. We will, therefore, use the momentum maps to write the fermion shifts of the theory at hands.

A problem one finds in trying to write fermion shifts with the right structure is that the structure of the fermion shifts and of the entire supersymmetry transformations given in Ref. [1] does not show the transformation properties of the spinors under the R-symmetry group SO(2)×SO(3) ∼U(1)×SU(2), because the fermions obtained in the dimensional reduction from 11 dimensions are not symplectic-Majorana. A symplectic-Majorana (pair) ϵ^{I} $I=1,2$ transforms as a doublet under SU(2) and as a singlet under U(1) in a natural way. Therefore, we are going to use symplectic-Majorana spinors in our proposal: gravitini $\psi_{\mu I}$, dilatini λ^{I}_{m} and supersymmetry parameters ϵ^{I} and we are going to define the fermion shifts $S_{IJ}, N_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{I}{}_{J}$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\epsilon}\psi_{\mu I} &\sim \cdots + S_{IJ}\epsilon^{J}\,,\\ \delta_{\epsilon}\lambda^{I}_{\mathbf{m}} &\sim \cdots + N_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{I}{}_{J}\epsilon^{J}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Now, in order to construct S_{IJ} and $N_{m}{}^{I}{}_{J}$ it is necessary to introduce an object with properties similar to those of the symplectic sections of $\mathcal{N}=2, d=4$ theories and their generalizations to higher $\mathcal{N}$ denoted by $\mathcal{V}^{M}{}_{IJ}$ where the index M labels the vectors available in the theory (electric and magnetic in 4 dimensions) and the indices I,J are R-symmetry indices in the representation carried by the spinors (the fundamental of SU()$\mathcal{N}$). This generalization, must have the same structure, i.e. $\mathcal{V}^{im}{}_{IJ}$ and our proposal for this object is

$$\mathcal{V}^{im}{}_{IJ} \equiv V^{i}L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{m}\epsilon_{IK}\sigma^{\mathbf{m}\,K}{}_{J}\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^{im}{}_{\mathbf{m}} \equiv V^{i}L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{m}\,, \tag{4.32}$$

where we have introduced

$$(V_{i}) \equiv e^{\varphi/2}(\tau \quad 1)\,, \tag{4.33}$$

which transforms linearly under SL(2,ℝ) up to a U(1) phase.

Using these ingredients, the fermion shifts can be written in the form

$$S_{IJ} = \mathcal{V}^{im}{}_{[I|K}\vartheta_{im}{}^{n}P_{n}{}^{\mathbf{p}}(\sigma^{\mathbf{p}})^{K}{}_{|J]}\,, \tag{4.34}$$

$$N_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{I}{}_{J} = \mathcal{V}^{in}{}_{\mathbf{r}}\vartheta_{in}{}^{p}P_{p}{}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\delta^{\mathbf{r}}{}_{\mathbf{m}}\delta^{\mathbf{q}}{}_{\mathbf{s}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{\mathbf{q}}\delta^{\mathbf{r}}{}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)(\sigma^{\mathbf{q}})^{I}{}_{J}\,, \tag{4.35}$$

where the $(\sigma^{\mathbf{p}})$ are Pauli's sigma matrices. For the class of gaugings that we are considering, with embedding tensor $\vartheta_{im}{}^{n} = v_{i}\delta_{m}{}^{n}$

$$S_{IJ} = V^i v_i L_{\mathbf{n}}{}^m P_m{}^{\mathbf{n}} \epsilon_{IJ}\,, \tag{4.36}$$

$$N_{\mathbf{m}}{}^I{}_J = V^i v_i \left(L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^n P_n{}^{\mathbf{p}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbf{m}}{}^{\mathbf{p}} L_{\mathbf{q}}{}^n P_n{}^{\mathbf{q}} \right) (\sigma^{\mathbf{p}})^I{}_J\,. \tag{4.37}$$

Now we observe that the dressed structure constants can, in this case, be expressed in these two different ways:

$$f_{\mathbf{mn}}{}^{\mathbf{p}} = \begin{cases} L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^q \Gamma_{\rm Adj} (L^{-1})_q{}^A (T_A)_{\mathbf{n}}{}^{\mathbf{p}}\,, \\ \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{mnq}} T^{\mathbf{qp}}\,, \end{cases} \tag{4.38}$$

where we have defined

$$T^{\mathbf{mn}} \equiv L_p{}^{\mathbf{m}} L_p{}^{\mathbf{n}}\,. \tag{4.39}$$

Contracting both identities with $\epsilon^{\mathbf{npr}}$ we find[20]

$$2 L_{\mathbf{m}}{}^p P_p{}^{\mathbf{n}} = -T^{\mathbf{mn}} + \delta^{\mathbf{mn}} T\,, \quad \text{where} \quad T \equiv \delta_{\mathbf{mn}} T^{\mathbf{mn}}\,, \tag{4.40}$$

which allows us to express the fermion shifts entirely in terms of $T^{\mathbf{mn}}$:

$$S_{IJ} = \epsilon_{IJ} V^i v_i T\,, \tag{4.41}$$

$$N_{\mathbf{m}}{}^I{}_J = V^i v_i \left(T^{\mathbf{mp}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbf{mp}} T \right) (\sigma^{\mathbf{p}})^I{}_J\,, \tag{4.42}$$

as they appear in Ref. [1].

The combination of the fermion shifts that gives the scalar potential is

$$V = -\tfrac{1}{4} S_{IJ} S^{*\,IJ} + \tfrac{1}{8} \delta^{\mathbf{mn}} N_{\mathbf{m}}{}^I{}_J N^*_{\mathbf{n}}{}^J{}_I = -\tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}^{ij} v_i v_j \left[{\rm Tr}(\mathcal{M})^2 - 2{\rm Tr}(\mathcal{M}^2) \right]\,, \tag{4.43}$$

where $\mathcal{W}^{ij}$ is the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ symmetric matrix defined in Eq. (2.3) and where we have used, to simplify the comparison with the results of Refs. [6, 4]

$$\mathcal{M}_{mn} \equiv L_m{}^{\mathbf{p}} L_n{}^{\mathbf{p}}\,, \quad \text{so that} \quad T = {\rm Tr}(\mathcal{M})\,, \quad \text{and} \quad T^{\mathbf{mn}} T^{\mathbf{mn}} = {\rm Tr}(\mathcal{M}^2)\,. \tag{4.44}$$

The expression obtained is $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ invariant and, formally (because v^i is rotated) $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ invariant. For $v_i = g\delta_i{}^1$ one recovers the scalar potential of the Salam-Sezgin theory[21] and for $v_i = g\delta_i{}^2$ the scalar potential of the AAMO theory is recovered.

[20] This projects the first identity over the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ generators $A = m$ and we remind the reader our definition of momentum map $P_B{}^{\mathbf{m}} = \Gamma_{\rm Adj} (L^{-1})_B{}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

[21] Beware of the different conventions for the dilaton field!

We do not expect qualitatively different vacua from different values of the v^i. Observe that the τ-dependent factor of the potential is definite-positive.

Since our main concern here was to find the scalar potential, in this section we have only studied the fermion shifts in the supersymmetry transformations of the fermions. It is worth, however, discussing the general form of all the supersymmetry transformations of the theory. We know that the supersymmetry transformations of the bosonic fields do not change under gauging, as a rule. The structure of the supersymmetry transformations of the fermions is, apart from the additional fermion shifts, the same as in the ungauged case with the field strengths replaced by the new ones and the derivatives replaced by gauge-covariant derivatives. Since the fermions only transform under the R-symmetry group, their covariant derivatives are different from the covariant derivatives of the bosonic fields, which transform in representations of the whole duality group. The construction of these covariant derivatives offers no particular problems and is discussed in detail in Ref. [35].

5 Conclusions

By applying the general results obtained in Ref. [30] we have constructed explicitly a 1-parameter family of SO(3)-gauged maximal 8-dimensional supergravities that interpolates between Salam and Sezgin's [1] and AAMO's [6], realizing the possibilities noticed in Refs. [11, 12]: for each value of that parameter a different combination of the two triplets of 1-forms (one coming from the 11-dimensional metric and the other coming from the 11-dimensional 3-form) plays the rôle of gauge vectors. These theories are physically different, but equivalente in the sense that they are related by U-duality rotations. In other words: they are not ω-deformations [36]. Finding a possible 11-dimensional origin for these theories constitutes a non-trivial challenge and we hope that the knowledge of the explicit form of these theories, determined in this work, will be of help.

A key ingredient of the gauged supergravities we have constructed is the scalar potential. This is not determined by the tensor hierarchy, which only puts generic constraints on it. In a supergravity theory (different from $\mathcal{N} = 1, d = 4$) the scalar potential is a quadratic form on the fermion shifts. These have to be scalar-dependent expressions linear on the embedding tensor, but their general form is not known in general.[22] This is one of the main obstructions to find a general formulation of all gauged supergravities in all dimensions. We have proposed a general form of the fermion shifts for maximal 8-dimensional supergravity that reproduces the fermion shifts found by Salam and Sezgin and gives the expected (formally) duality-invariant form of the scalar. Interestingly enough, this form is similar to that of the fermion shifts occurring in 4-dimensional supergravities, where the scalar fields appear combined in an object (symplectic section and generalizations) related to part of the coset

[22] They are known in $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4, 5$ supergravity and in other $\mathcal{N} \geq 3, d = 4$ supergravities as well. See Ref. [35] and references therein.

representative. We believe that this object should exist in any supergravity theory (if it can be gauged at all) and its identification and study should be key to finding the general formulation of gauged supergravities we wish for.

Acknowledgments

T.O. would like to thank M. Trigiante and Gianluca Inverso for useful conversations during the workshop *Superstring solutions, supersymmetry and geometry* held at the Centro de Ciencias de Benasque and J.J. Fernández-Melgarejo for useful conversations on the determination of the fermions shifts. This work has been supported in part by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education grants FPA2012-35043-C02-01 and FPA2015-66793-P, the Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa Program grant SEV-2012-0249, and the Spanish Consolider-Ingenio 2010 program CPAN CSD2007-00042. The work of OLA was further supported by a scholarship of the Ecuadorian Secretary of Science, Technology and Innovation. TO wishes to thank M.M. Fernández for her permanent support.

A Summary of relations for the gauged theory

A.1 Field strengths

$$\mathcal{D}\phi^x = d\phi^x - A^{im} v_i k_m{}^x \,, \tag{A.1}$$

$$F^{im} = dA^{im} + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{mnp} v_j A^{jn} A^{ip} + v^i B_m \,, \tag{A.2}$$

$$H_m = \mathcal{D}B_m + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{ij} dA^{in} A^{jp} - \tfrac{1}{4} v_i A^{im} (\epsilon AA) \,, \tag{A.3}$$

$$G^i = dC^i + F^{im} B_m + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{jk} dA^{jn} A^{im} A^{kp} - v^i \left[\tfrac{1}{2} B_m B_m - \tfrac{1}{32} (\epsilon AA)^2 \right] \,, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^m = {} & \mathcal{D}\tilde{B}^m + \epsilon_{ij} F^{im} C^j + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{mnp} B_n \left(H_p + \Delta H_p \right) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon_{pqr}\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{kl} dA^{ip} A^{jq} A^{kr} A^{lm} + \tfrac{1}{160} v_i A^{im} (\epsilon AA)^2 + v^i \tilde{A}_{im} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{im} = {} & \mathcal{D}\tilde{A}_{im} - \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mnp} F^{jn} \tilde{B}_p - \epsilon_{ij} H_m C^j - v_i B_m B_n B_n - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij} \Delta F^{jn} B_m B_n \\ & + \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon_{il}\epsilon_{jj'}\epsilon_{kk'}\epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{qrs} dA^{jq} A^{j'r} A^{ks} A^{k'n} A^{lp} + \tfrac{1}{16}\epsilon_{ij} v_k \epsilon_{mnp} A^{jn} A^{kp} (\epsilon AA)^2 \\ & - v_i D_m \,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

$$K_m = \mathcal{D}D_m + \dots \,, \tag{A.7}$$

$$K_a = \mathcal{D}D_a + \dots \,, \tag{A.8}$$

$$K_\alpha = dD_\alpha + \dots \,, \tag{A.9}$$

where the SO(3)-covariant derivatives that appear in these expressions are

$$\mathcal{D}B_m = dB_m + \epsilon_{mnp} v_i A^{in} B_p \,, \qquad \mathcal{D}\tilde{B}^m = d\tilde{B}^m + \epsilon^{mnp} v_i A^{in} \tilde{B}^p \,. \tag{A.10}$$

and where we have used the shorthand notation

$$\Delta H_m = H_m - \mathcal{D}B_m \,, \qquad (\epsilon AA) = \epsilon_{ij} A^{im} A^{jn} \,. \tag{A.11}$$

A.2 Bianchi identities

The Bianchi identities satisfied by the field strengths of the gauged theory are $\mathcal{B}(\cdot) = 0$ where

$$\mathcal{B}(L_n{}^{im}) = -\left[\mathcal{D}L_n{}^{im} + F^{im}K_n + W^{im}{}_n{}^{\beta}M_\beta\right], \tag{A.12}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(L_a{}^{im}) = -\left[\mathcal{D}L_a{}^{im} + F^{im}K_a + W^{im}{}_n{}^{\beta}M_\beta\right], \tag{A.13}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(L_{imn}) = -\left[\mathcal{D}L_{imn} + 2\tilde{F}_{i(m}H_{n)} + W_{imn}{}^{\beta}M_\beta\right], \tag{A.14}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K_m) = \mathcal{D}K_m - T_m{}^n{}_p\left[F^{ip}\tilde{F}_{in} + \tilde{H}^pH_n\right], \tag{A.15}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K_a) = \mathcal{D}K_a - T_a{}^n{}_p\left[F^{ip}\tilde{F}_{in} + \tilde{H}^pH_n - v_iL^{ip}{}_n + v^i\delta^{mp}L_{imn}\right], \tag{A.16}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K_\alpha) = dK_\alpha - T_\alpha{}^i{}_j\left[F^{jm}\tilde{F}_{im} + \tfrac{1}{2}G^jG_i - v_i\delta^m{}_nL^{jn}{}_m + v^j\delta^{mn}L_{imn}\right], \tag{A.17}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{F}_{im}) = -\left[\mathcal{D}\tilde{F}_{im} + \epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{ij}F^{jn}\tilde{H}^p + \epsilon_{ij}G^jH_m + v_iK_m\right], \tag{A.18}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{H}^m) = -\left[\mathcal{D}\tilde{H}^m - \epsilon_{ij}F^{im}G^j - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{mnp}H_nH_p - v^i\tilde{F}_{im}\right], \tag{A.19}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(G^i) = -\left[dG^i - F^{im}H_m\right], \tag{A.20}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(H_m) = -\left[\mathcal{D}H_m - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp}\epsilon_{ij}F^{im}F^{jn}\right], \tag{A.21}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(F^{im}) = -\left[\mathcal{D}F^{im} - v^iH_m\right], \tag{A.22}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{mn}) = -\left[\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_{mn} + 2v_iF^{ip}\epsilon_{p(m}{}^q\mathcal{M}_{n)q}\right], \tag{A.23}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(d\mathcal{W}_{ij}) = -dd\mathcal{W}_{ij}, \tag{A.24}$$

where the SO(3)-covariant derivatives with indices m are identical to those of B_m and $\tilde{B}^m$ in Eq. (A.10)

$$\mathcal{D}K_a = dK_a - v_iA^{im}f_{ma}{}^bK_b. \tag{A.25}$$

A.3 Identities of Bianchi identities

$$\mathcal{DB}(F^{im}) - v^i \mathcal{B}(H_m) = 0\,, \quad \text{(A.26)}$$

$$\mathcal{DB}(H_m) + \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mnp} F^{in} \mathcal{B}(F^{jp}) = 0\,, \quad \text{(A.27)}$$

$$\mathcal{DB}(G_i) - \epsilon_{ij}\left[\mathcal{B}(H_m)F^j + H_m \mathcal{B}(F^{jm})\right] = 0\,, \quad \text{(A.28)}$$

$$\mathcal{DB}(\tilde{H}^m) - \epsilon_{ij}\left[\mathcal{B}(G^i)F^{jm} + G^i \mathcal{B}(F^{jm})\right] + \epsilon^{mnp}\mathcal{B}(H_n)H_p + v^i \mathcal{B}(\tilde{F}_{im}) = 0\,, \quad \text{(A.29)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{DB}(\tilde{F}_{im}) + \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mnp}\left[\mathcal{B}(\tilde{H}^n)F^{jp} + \tilde{H}^n \mathcal{B}(F^{jp})\right] \\ &+\epsilon_{ij}\left[\mathcal{B}(G^j)H_m + G^j \mathcal{B}(H_m)\right] + v_i \mathcal{B}(K_m) = 0\,, \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.30)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{DB}(K_m) + \epsilon_{mnp}\left[\mathcal{B}(F^{in})\tilde{F}_{ip} + F^{in}\mathcal{B}(\tilde{F}_{ip})\right] \\ &-\epsilon_{mnp}\left[\mathcal{B}(\tilde{H}^n)H_p + \tilde{H}^n \mathcal{B}(H_p)\right] = 0\,. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.31)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{DB}(K_a) + T_A{}^m{}_n\left[\mathcal{B}(F^{in})\tilde{F}_{im} + F^{in}\mathcal{B}(\tilde{F}_{im})\right] \\ &+T_a{}^m{}_n\left[\mathcal{B}(\tilde{H}^n)H_m + \tilde{H}^n \mathcal{B}(H_m)\right] \\ &-v_i T_a{}^m{}_n \mathcal{B}(L_n{}^{im}) - v_i f_{ma}{}^b \mathcal{B}(L_b{}^{im}) + v^i T_a{}^m{}_n \mathcal{B}(L_{imnn}) = 0\,. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.32)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{DB}(K_\alpha) + T_\alpha{}^i{}_j\left[\mathcal{B}(F^{jm})\tilde{F}_{im} + F^{jm}\mathcal{B}(\tilde{F}_{im})\right] + T_{\alpha\, ij} G^i \mathcal{B}(G^j) \\ &+T_{\alpha\, ij} v^j \mathcal{B}(L_m{}^{im}) + T_\alpha{}^i{}_j v^j \mathcal{B}(L_{imm}) = 0\,. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.33)}$$

A.4 Duality relations

$$\star G^i = \epsilon^{ij}\mathcal{W}_{jk}G^k\,, \qquad (G^2 = \tilde{G} \equiv e^{-\varphi}\star G + aG)\,, \tag{A.34}$$

$$\tilde{H}^m = \mathcal{M}^{mn}\star H_n\,, \tag{A.35}$$

$$\tilde{F}_{im} = \mathcal{W}_{ij}\mathcal{M}_{mn}\star F^{jn}\,, \tag{A.36}$$

$$K_m = -\star j_m^{(\sigma)}\,, \tag{A.37}$$

$$K_a = -\star j_a^{(\sigma)}\,, \tag{A.38}$$

$$K_\alpha = -\star j_\alpha^{(\sigma)}\,, \tag{A.39}$$

$$L_n{}^{im} = \star\frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta_{im}{}^n}\,, \tag{A.40}$$

$$L_a{}^{im} = \star\frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta_{im}{}^a}\,, \tag{A.41}$$

$$L_{imn} = \star\frac{\partial V}{\partial Z^{imn}}\,. \tag{A.42}$$

References

[1] A. Salam and E. Sezgin, "*d = 8 Supergravity,*" Nucl. Phys. B **258** (1985) 284. DOI:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90613-3.

[2] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, "*How to Get Masses from Extra Dimensions,*" Nucl. Phys. B **153** (1979) 61. DOI:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90592-3.

[3] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, "Supergravity Theory in Eleven-Dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **76** (1978) 409. DOI:10.1016/0370-2693(78)90894-8.

[4] N. Alonso-Alberca, E. Bergshoeff, U. Gran, R. Linares, T. Ortín and D. Roest, "*Domain walls of D = 8 gauged supergravities and their D = 11 origin,*" JHEP **0306** (2003) 038. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/06/038. [hep-th/0303113].

[5] E. Bergshoeff, U. Gran, R. Linares, M. Nielsen, T. Ortín and D. Roest, "*The Bianchi classification of maximal D = 8 gauged supergravities,*" Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 3997. DOI:10.1088/0264-9381/20/18/310. [hep-th/0306179].

[6] N. Alonso-Alberca, P. Meessen and T. Ortín, "*An Sl(3,Z) multiplet of eight-dimensional type II supergravity theories and the gauged supergravity inside*," Nucl. Phys. B **602** (2001) 329. DOI:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00110-9. [hep-th/0012032].

[7] P. Meessen and T. Ortín, "*An Sl(2,Z) multiplet of nine-dimensional type II supergravity theories*," Nucl. Phys. B **541** (1999) 195. DOI:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00780-9. [hep-th/9806120].

[8] L. J. Romans, "*Massive N=2a Supergravity in Ten-Dimensions*," Phys. Lett. B **169** (1986) 374. DOI:10.1016/0370-2693(86)90375-8.

[9] J. Gheerardyn and P. Meessen, "*Supersymmetry of massive D = 9 supergravity*," Phys. Lett. B **525** (2002) 322. DOI:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01429-0. [hep-th/0111130].

[10] N. Alonso-Alberca and T. Ortín, "*Gauged / massive supergravities in diverse dimensions*," Nucl. Phys. B **651** (2003) 263. DOI:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)01125-2. [hep-th/0210011].

[11] M. de Roo, G. Dibitetto and Y. Yin, "*Critical points of maximal D=8 gauged supergravities*," JHEP **1201** (2012) 029. DOI:10.1007/JHEP01(2012)029. [arXiv:1110.2886 [hep-th]].

[12] G. Dibitetto, J. J. Fernández-Melgarejo, D. Marques and D. Roest, "*Duality orbits of non-geometric fluxes*," Fortsch. Phys. **60** (2012) 1123. DOI:10.1002/prop.201200078. [arXiv:1203.6562 [hep-th]].

[13] F. Cordaro, P. Fré, L. Gualtieri, P. Termonia and M. Trigiante, "*N=8 gaugings revisited: An Exhaustive classification*," Nucl. Phys. B **532** (1998) 245. DOI:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00449-0. [hep-th/9804056].

[14] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, "*On Lagrangians and gaugings of maximal supergravities*," Nucl. Phys. B **655** (2003) 93. DOI:10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00059-2. [hep-th/0212239].

[15] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, "*Maximal supergravity from IIB flux compactifications*," Phys. Lett. B **583** (2004) 338. DOI:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.029. [hep-th/0311224].

[16] B. de Wit and H. Samtleben, "*Gauged maximal supergravities and hierarchies of nonAbelian vector-tensor systems*," Fortsch. Phys. **53** (2005) 442. DOI:10.1002/prop.200510202. [hep-th/0501243].

[17] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, "*Magnetic charges in local field theory*," JHEP **0509** (2005) 016. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/09/016. [hep-th/0507289].

[18] M. Trigiante, "*Dual gauged supergravities*," hep-th/0701218.

[19] M. Weidner, "*Gauged supergravities in various spacetime dimensions*," Fortsch. Phys. **55** (2007) 843. DOI:10.1002/prop.200710390. [hep-th/0702084].

[20] H. Samtleben, "*Lectures on Gauged Supergravity and Flux Compactifications*," Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 214002. DOI:10.1088/0264-9381/25/21/214002. [arXiv:0808.4076 [hep-th]].

[21] T. Ortín, "*Gravity and Strings*," 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2015.

[22] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, "*The Maximal D=5 supergravities*," Nucl. Phys. B **716** (2005) 215. DOI:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.03.032. [hep-th/0412173].

[23] H. Samtleben and M. Weidner, "*The Maximal D=7 supergravities*," Nucl. Phys. B **725** (2005) 383. DOI:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.07.028. [hep-th/0506237].

[24] J. Schon and M. Weidner, "*Gauged N=4 supergravities*," JHEP **0605** (2006) 034. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/034 [hep-th/0602024].

[25] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, "*The Maximal D=4 supergravities*," JHEP **0706** (2007) 049. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/049. [arXiv:0705.2101 [hep-th]].

[26] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, T. A. Nutma and D. Roest, "*Kac-Moody Spectrum of (Half-)Maximal Supergravities*," JHEP **0802** (2008) 069. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/069. [arXiv:0711.2035 [hep-th]].

[27] B. de Wit, H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, "*Gauged Supergravities, Tensor Hierarchies, and M-Theory*," JHEP **0802** (2008) 044. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/044. [arXiv:0801.1294 [hep-th]].

[28] J. J. Fernández-Melgarejo, T. Ortín and E. Torrente-Luján, "*The general gaugings of maximal d=9 supergravity*," JHEP **1110** (2011) 068. DOI:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)068. [arXiv:1106.1760 [hep-th]].

[29] D. Puigdomenech, "*Embedding tensor approach to maximal D = 8 supergravity*," Master Thesis, Groningen U., 2008.

[30] O. Lasso Andino and T. Ortín, "*The tensor hierarchy of 8-dimensional field theories*," arXiv:1605.05882 [hep-th].

[31] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Hartong, O. Hohm, M. Hübscher and T. Ortín, "*Gauge Theories, Duality Relations and the Tensor Hierarchy*," JHEP **0904** (2009) 123. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/123. [arXiv:0901.2054 [hep-th]].

[32] J. Hartong and T. Ortín, "*Tensor Hierarchies of 5- and 6-Dimensional Field Theories*," JHEP **0909** (2009) 039. DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/039. [arXiv:0906.4043 [hep-th]].

[33] L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, R. D'Auria, P. Fré and E. Maina, "*The Complete $N = 3$ Matter Coupled Supergravity*," Nucl. Phys. B **268** (1986) 317. DOI:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90157-4

[34] L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fré and E. Maina, "*σ Models, Duality Transformations and Scalar Potentials in Extended Supergravities*," Phys. Lett. B **161** (1985) 91. DOI:10.1016/0370-2693(85)90615-X.

[35] I. A. Bandos and T. Ortín, "*On the dualization of scalars into (d-2)-forms in supergravity. Momentum maps, R-symmetry and gauged supergravity*," arXiv:1605.05559 [hep-th].

[36] G. Dall'Agata, G. Inverso and M. Trigiante, "*Evidence for a family of SO(8) gauged supergravity theories*," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 201301. DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.201301. [arXiv:1209.0760 [hep-th]].